



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company
Patent & Trademark Department - D/823
1144 East Market Street
Akron, OH 44316-0001

COPY MAILED

FEB 08 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Georges Marcel Victor Thielen, et. al. : DECISION ON PETITIONS
Application No. 10/084,890 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) AND
Filed: February 27, 2002 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)
Attorney Docket No. DN2001057 :
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6), filed September 3, 2004, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) for the benefit of the prior-filed nonprovisional and provisional applications set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) and 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(i) and 1.78(a)(5)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The petition fails to comply with item (1) above.

The amendment submitted concurrently with the instant petition as drafted is unacceptable and, therefore, is not considered a proper reference under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i). In this regard, the amendment is physically part of the instant petition and, as such, does not comply with 37 CFR 1.121, 1.52, or 1.4(c). Note that 37 CFR 1.121 states that amendments are made by filing a paper, in compliance with § 1.52, directing that specified amendments be made. The pertinent section of 37 CFR 1.52 states that the claim (in this case, the claim for priority), must commence on a separate physical sheet. 37 CFR 1.4(c) states that each distinct subject must be contained in a separate paper since different matters may be considered by different branches of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

In view of the above, the amendment to add a priority claim to the first line of the specification, which is physically embedded in the instant petition, is not acceptable. Accordingly, a substitute amendment in compliance with the aforementioned rules, along with a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), must be submitted.

Since a petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) does not require a renewed petition fee, the \$1,330 submitted on September 3, 2004 will be credited to petitioner's deposit account.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITIONS
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax: (703) 872-9306
ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Andrea Smith at (571) 272-3226.


Andrea Smith
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy


Frances Hicks
Lead Petitions Examiner